

Index

The italic letters *f*, *n*, and *t* following a page number indicate that the subject information is within a figure, note, or table, respectively, on that page.

- ACINet. *See* America's Career InfoNet
- Advisory council requirement of
Wagner Peyser Act, 43
- Agencies. *See* Federal agencies; State
employment security agencies
(SESAs)
- AJB. *See* America's Job Bank
- ALMIS. *See* America's Labor Market
Information System
- America's Career InfoNet (ACINet), 79
 - features and statistics, 190*t*
 - information found at, 203
- America's Career Kit, 188
- America's Job Bank, 79, 139–140,
188–189
 - case study, 189, 192–197
 - example of national job search on,
186*t*
 - features and statistics, 190*t*
 - goals, 127*t*
 - Outcomes Study, 192–197
 - Outcomes Study results, 194*t*, 195*t*,
197*t*
 - potential use for analyses of trends,
207–208
- America's Labor Market Information
System (ALMIS), 201
- America's Service Locator (ASL), 79,
190*t*–191*t*
- America's Talent Bank, 79
- Area Redevelopment Act of 1961, 5
- ASL. *See* America's Service Locator
- Australia
 - evaluation of public employment
services, 237
 - job brokerage operations, 214*t*
 - Job Network case study, 228, 229,
239
 - privatization in, 223, 227
 - public employment service data,
216*t*
 - public employment service
expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*
 - public employment services
administrative structure, 224*t*
 - unemployment and hiring data,
234*t*
- Automated systems for job seekers,
78–80, 180–181, 186–187
 - need for further study, 171
 - in OECD countries, 228, 230–231
- Unemployment Insurance claimants,
197–200
 - using, to identify those in need of
staff-assisted services, 206
- See also* O*Net (Occupational
Information Network) system
- “Back to Work Incentive Act” (H.R.
444), 58
- Balanced Placement Formula (BPF),
104
- Belgium
 - job brokerage operations, 214*t*
 - public employment service data,
216*t*
 - public employment service
expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*,
220*t*–221*t*
 - public employment services
administrative structure, 224*t*
 - unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Benchmarks, 103
- Block grants, 37, 76, 260
- Bush (George, Jr.) administration
policies, 57–59, 260–263

- CareerBuilder.com, 186*t*
- Career information delivery systems (CIDS), 202–203
- CareerOneStop.org, 79, 188–189, 190*t*–191*t*
- CAREERS Act, 41
- Carter administration policies, 38–39
- CETA. *See* Comprehensive Employment and Training Act of 1973
- CIDS. *See* Career information delivery systems
- Civil Rights Act of 1964, Titles VI and VII, 105
- Client satisfaction indicators, 115, 116, 117, 118*t*
- Client satisfaction surveys, 238
- Clinton administration policies, 38, 40–42, 59
- Colorado
 - alternative to state Employment Service agency delivery of services, 46, 48
 - automated Unemployment Insurance system, 91
 - management of Employment Service personnel and funding, 89
 - one-stop centers, 85
 - reemployment services, 92
- Comparison group design. *See* Net impact estimation
- Comparison group studies of
 - Employment Service labor exchange services, 139
 - findings from, 169–170
 - job interview referrals and job placements, 145–152
 - job search assistance services, 152–156
 - targeted job search assistance, 160–168
- Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA) of 1973, 5, 8, 38–39, 75–76
- Consolidated and Reformed Education, Employment, and Rehabilitation Systems Act (CAREERS Act), 41
- Cooperative federalism, 35–36
- Core performance indicators (WIA), 115
- Core services, 10, 252
 - cost-effectiveness, 137
 - definitions of, 53–54
 - funding, 53
- “Cream skimming” or “creaming,” 105
- Creative Federalism, 37
- Cross-country comparisons of performance, 238–239
- Current Population Survey* questions
 - about job search methods, 11, 17
- Customer satisfaction indicators, 115, 116, 117, 118*t*
- Customer satisfaction surveys, 238
- Data about labor exchange activities. *See* Labor exchange reporting
- Data about labor markets. *See* Labor market information
- Data sources, for job search methods, 17
- Decentralization of functions
 - Employment Service program, 89
 - public employment services in OECD countries, 223–226, 242*n*12
- Demographic characteristics and use of public employment agencies, 15–16, 17, 140, 141*t*
 - effect on success rates, 20–21, 149
 - ETA quarterly reports, 121–122
 - in OECD countries, 233
- Denmark
 - evaluation of public employment services, 237
 - job brokerage operations, 214*t*
 - public employment service data, 216*t*
 - public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*

- public employment services
 - administrative structure, 224*t*
 - unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Devolution New Federalism, 38, 39–40
- Dictionary of Occupational Titles*. *See* O*Net system
- Disabled persons. *See* Persons with disabilities
- Disadvantaged workers
 - in OECD countries, 233
 - services to, under Lyndon Johnson, 37
 - social equity concerns about, 105
- Dislocated workers
 - effectiveness of Employment Service in Pennsylvania for, 149
 - FDSS services for, 200
 - performance measurement
 - indicators, 130*n*17
 - studies of Employment Service services to, 160–161
 - WIA performance measurement indicators, 115
 - See also* Unemployed job seekers (See also Workforce Investment Act)
- Displaced workers, 13
- Displacement effect, 145
- District of Columbia JSA experiments, 162–163
- Earnings effects of targeted job service assistance, 163
- Earnings estimates for individual job seekers, 200
- Earnings trends, 204
- Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, 5
- Education level
 - targeted services for those with lower, 233
 - and use of Employment Service program, 15–16, 17
- Eligibility review interview, 157, 158
- Emergency Unemployment Compensation Act of 1991, 161
- Employed job seekers, 10–11, 16–17
 - use of Internet, 18, 19*t*
 - See also* Unemployed job seekers
- Employees. *See* Job seekers
- Employer committees, 84
- Employers
 - America's Job Bank services for, 139–140
 - America's Job Bank Study outcomes for, 195*t*
 - definition of new, 116
 - input into Employment Service program, 251
 - labor exchange performance indicators, 116, 117, 118*t*
 - one-stop center services, 2, 90
 - recommendation for further study of, 171
 - resource rooms, 80–81
 - screening services for, 80, 84, 232
 - services to, in OECD countries, 235–236
 - staff-assisted services for, 83–85
 - surveys, 117
 - three-tiered service structure for, 78
 - Unemployment Insurance double-taxation, 58
 - upgrading of services for, 96
 - use of Employment Services program, 140, 141*t*, 142
 - worker recruitment methods of, 25–26
 - See also* Job matching
- Employment and Training Administration (ETA)
 - data from quarterly reports, 108–109, 111*f*, 122–123
 - performance goals, 125–126
 - performance measurement
 - indicators, 116–118, 126, 127*t*
 - recommendations for, 126, 128
 - role in Employment Services programs, 9
 - role in performance measurement, 101, 104, 112–113

- ETA (continued)
 use of single performance indicator,
 105, 120
- Employment counseling, 82, 207
- Employment outcomes. *See* Outcomes
- Employment retention rate indicator,
 117
- Employment Security Automated
 Reporting System (ESARS), 188
- Employment Service (ES) program,
 136
 challenges faced by, 27
 combined with other job search
 methods, 21, 24*t*
 contemporaneous institutional
 impacts on, 253–255
 coordination with Unemployment
 Insurance program, 36–37
 cost-effectiveness as job search
 method, 20
 cost-effectiveness of services, 170,
 171
 decentralization of functions, 89
 effectiveness of, 18, 20–24, 152
 employment outcomes for job
 seekers who use, 21
 functions, 4–5
 funding to states, 8–9
 groups targeted by, 5
 impact of wars on, 250, 251
 initial creation of, 250
 job-finding success rates for those
 who use, 20–21
 job interview referrals, 145–152
 levels of subgovernment eligible to
 deliver services, 50–51
 number of customers, 170
 overview of lessons learned,
 255–259
 percentages of job seekers who use,
 14, 15–16, 17, 27
 performance data, 137*t*, 138–139
 performance measurement practices,
 101–102
 precursors to, 249–250
 reason for federal-state structure of,
 36
 recommendations for, 94–97
 relation with one-stop centers,
 136–137
 relation with Unemployment
 Insurance program, 4–5, 52–53,
 74, 91–92
 “sea changes” in, 74
 separation from Unemployment
 Insurance program, 48, 91
 service categories tracked by, 138
 services to economically
 disadvantaged, 5, 8, 26, 251
 use, by demographic characteristics
 of job seekers, 15–16, 17
 use, by reason for unemployment,
 15, 16*t*
 who uses, 140–142
See also Comparison group studies
 of Employment Service labor
 exchange services; Federal
 agencies; Public employment
 service programs; State
 employment security agencies
*Employment Service Revitalization Work
 Plan*, 9
- Employment statistics. *See* Labor
 market information
- Employment subsidies, 135
- Entered employment rate indicator,
 116–117, 118*t*
- ERI. *See* Eligibility review interview
- ESARS. *See* Employment Security
 Automated Reporting System
- ES. *See* Employment Service program
- ETA. *See* Employment and Training
 Administration
- Evaluation of labor exchange services.
See Net impact estimation;
 Performance measurement;
 Research methods
- Facilitated self-help services, 54
 performance indicators, 112

- FDSS. *See* Frontline Decision Support System
- Federal agencies, 124–125
 first federal employment office, 250
- Federal grants-in-aid, 35
 federal authority over states' use of, 45
 first to states, 61*n*2
 under Nixon, 37
 planning and reporting requirements of agencies that oversee, 124–125
 state responsibility for administering, 52
See also Performance measurement; State funding of labor exchange services
- Federalism, 34, 43–45
 Cooperative Federalism, 35–36
 Creative Federalism, 37
 Devolution New Federalism, 38, 39–40
 Knothole Federalism, 64*n*31
 New Federalism, 37–38
 Second Order Devolution New Federalism, 33, 38, 40–42, 57
See also Workplace federalism
- Federal role in labor exchange services, 4, 6*t*–7*t*
 de-emphasis under New Federalism, 37–38, 40, 55–57
 questions of state control, 45–48
- Federal role in performance measurement, 102–103
- Federal-state relations in labor exchange services delivery, 33–34
 performance indicators workgroup, 112
- Fee-for-services options, 236
- Firm size and use of state employment security agencies, 25
- Five-year state plans, 55
- Florida
 alternative to state Employment Service agency delivery of services, 48
 impact on USDOL concerning Wagner-Peyser Act services, 52
 targeted JSA experiments in, 162–163
 Unemployment Insurance recipient eligibility reviews, 92
- Foreign workers, certification of, 5
- Formal methods of job search, 11–12
- France
 evaluation of public employment services, 237
 job brokerage operations, 214*t*
 public employment service data, 216*t*
 public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 public employment services administrative structure, 224*t*
 unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Frontline Decision Support System (FDSS), 199–200
- Funding
 based on performance-based criteria, 104–105, 109
 Employment Service program funding to states, 8–9
 labor exchange services, 261
 one-stop center core services, 53
 passive and active labor market measures in OECD countries, 213, 217, 218*t*–219*t*
 reemployment services, 42–43
 relation to performance indicators, 120
 by states for labor exchange services, 83, 106–107
 Unemployment Insurance program, 57–58
 using performance measurement to inform, 103
 Wagner-Peyser Act services, 52, 57–58
 Wagner-Peyser Act services under JTPA, 105–106

- GAO. *See* U.S. General Accounting Office
- Gender differences
 impact of Employment Service services, 149
 in job search methods, 22*t*–23*t*
- Georgia
 reemployment services, 92
 role in FDSS system, 200
 staff-assisted services for employers, 84–85
- G.I. Bill for America's Workers, 41
- Government Performance and Results Act (GPRA) of 1993, 101
 performance measurement under, 123–126
- Government *vs.* private job search assistance, 12
- GPRA. *See* Government Performance and Results Act of 1993
- Hawthorne effect, 145
- ICESA. *See* Interstate Conference of Employment Security Agencies
- IJB. *See* Interstate Job Bank
- IJSA. *See* Individualized job search assistance
- iMATCH, 78
- Indiana, one-stop centers, 85
- Individualized job search assistance (IJSA), 163
- Industry
 average monthly employment by, 141*t*
 employers in higher wage, 140, 142
 job listings reported by, 108, 123, 140, 141*t*
 use of state employment service agencies by, 25–26
- Informal methods of job search, 11–12
- Information sharing and labor exchange services, 184
- Intensive services, 10, 252–253
 definitions of, 53–54
- Intermediaries, 73, 94–95
 need for further study about, 208
- Internet-enabled Unemployment Insurance systems, 198
- Internet use for job search, 17–18, 185–186
 best approach to, 207
 limitations of, 230–231
See also Web site job banks
- Interstate Conference of Employment Security Agencies (ICESA), 110–111, 116
- Interstate Job Bank (IJB), 188
- Iowa job placement services, 83
- Japan
 job brokerage and unemployment benefits administration, 222
 job brokerage operations, 214*t*
 public employment service data, 216*t*
 public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 public employment services
 administrative structure, 224*t*
 unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Job banks, 78
See also America's Job Bank; Job listings; Web site job banks
- Job brokerage functions, 211
 in selected OECD countries, 214*t*–215*t*, 220*t*
 separation from unemployment benefits, 222
- Job clubs, 82
- Job counseling, 82, 207
- Job finding services
 intermediaries, 73
 types of, 54
- Job interview referrals, 145–152
 most effective timing of, 150
- Job listings
 access to, in OECD countries, 231–232

- analyzing for employment trends, 207–208
- data about, 108
- definition of, 119–120
- ETA quarterly reports, 123
- by industry, 141*t*
- by occupational group, 123, 142, 143*t*
- in OECD countries, 235–236
- using as Employment Service performance indicator, 239
- See also* Job banks
- Job matching, 77
 - Intermediaries, 73
 - online systems for, 78–79, 185–188
- JobNet, 78
- Job openings. *See* Job banks; Job listings
- Job placement services
 - America's Job Bank estimated results, 197
 - effectiveness of, in OECD countries, 240
 - effectiveness of Employment Service, 150, 151–152
 - effect of performance-based funding on, 105
 - market share of, for public employment services in OECD countries, 212
 - measurements of, 239
 - most effective timing of, 150
 - online systems, 78–79
 - public employment service administration of, in OECD countries, 222–223
 - rates, 139
 - for targeted groups of job seekers, 83
- Job search assistance (JSA)
 - cost-effectiveness, 135
 - cost-effectiveness, in OECD countries, 240
 - Frontline Decision Support System, 199–200
 - most effective timing of, 150
 - studies of Employment Service effectiveness, 150, 152–159
 - U.S. Department of Labor experiment, 161–163
 - use of, by Unemployment Insurance claimants, 138
- Job search methods
 - and employment status outcome in second month, 22*t*–23*t*, 24*t*
 - formal and informal, 11–12
 - gauging effect of, on outcomes, 20
 - with highest and lowest success rates, 20
 - job search choice models, 12
 - the most widely used, 13–14
 - by reason of unemployment, 16*t*
 - relied on by employers, 25
 - success rates of, for unemployed job seekers, 20, 22–23*t*
 - used by job seekers, by percentages, 14*t*
 - used on the Internet, 19*t*
 - See also* Internet use for job search
- Job search networks, 82
- Job search workshops (JSW), 82, 153
 - effect of requiring, 158, 159
- Job seekers
 - America's Job Bank services for, 139–140
 - America's Job Bank Study outcomes, 196*t*
 - assessment instruments, 204–205
 - categories of, 140
 - data on, 108, 109–110, 111*f*, 137*t*
 - definition of measurement population of, 119
 - earnings estimates for, 200
 - ETA quarterly reports, 122–123
 - high- and low-bandwidth data about, 206
 - individual differences among, 12
 - labor exchange performance indicators, 116–117, 118*t*
 - labor force status by method of search, 22–23*t*, 24*t*

- Job seekers (continued)
 - motivation of, 229, 240
 - related-occupations module for, 200
 - resource rooms, 80–81
 - screening of, 80, 84
 - search methods used by, 10–12
 - Service Referral Module for individuals, 200
 - staff-assisted services for, 81–83
 - surveys, 117
 - surveys vs. Unemployment Insurance wage records, 120
 - See also* Demographic characteristics and use of public employment agencies; Job search methods; Job matching; One-stop centers; Targeted groups of job seekers; Unemployed job seekers
- Job seekers dismissed from previous jobs, 13
- Job seekers new to the labor force, 13
 - use of Employment Service program, 15
- Job seekers who voluntarily quit, 13
- Job Service Employer Committees, 84
- Job Service Improvement Program, 251
- Job training
 - importance to labor market, 183–184
 - offers of, to Unemployment Insurance claimants, 161, 163
- Job Training and Partnership Act (JTPA), 76, 251–252
 - effect on job training, 8
 - effect on performance measurement practices, 101–102
 - performance measurement under, 105–107
 - Title V, 39–40
- Job training programs
 - cost-effectiveness, 135
 - for economically disadvantaged and dislocated workers, 8
 - performance indicators for, 120–121
- Job training services, 10, 253
 - Employment Service role in, 5
 - under Lyndon Johnson, 37
 - relation with labor exchange programs, 33–34
- Johnson administration policies, 37
- JSA. *See* Job search assistance
- JSW. *See* Job search workshops
- JTPA. *See* Job Training and Partnership Act
- Kentucky, Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services (WPRS) system evaluation, 164–165, 168
- Knothole Federalism, 64*n*31
- Labor Department. *See* U.S. Department of Labor
- Labor exchange policy
 - from amendments to Wagner Peysner Act, 50–55
 - best research method to use for, 144
 - under cooperative federalism, 35–36
 - under Creative Federalism, 37
 - under Devolution New Federalism, 38, 39–40
 - evolution of, in United States, 249–255
 - future of, 260–263
 - under Permissive New Federalism, 38–39
 - under Second Order Devolution New Federalism, 38, 40–42
 - state–local control controversy, 45–48
- Labor exchange reporting, 101, 108–110
- Labor exchange service evaluation. *See* Net impact estimation; Performance measurement
- Labor exchange services
 - best ones, across a variety of countries, 135
 - categories of, tracked by U.S. Employment Service, 138
 - comparison group studies of, 139
 - data, 101, 108–110, 137*t*
 - delivery methods, 53–54
 - factors that have changed, 181–182

- federal–state relations in delivery of, 33–34
 - funding, 261
 - impact of one-stop system partnerships on, 87–88
 - importance of information sharing, 184–185
 - reasons why government should offer, 12
 - relation with job training programs, 33–34
 - state-local relations in delivery of, 33–34
 - timing of, 150, 151
 - traditional vs. broader view of, 183
 - U.S. legislation that enacted, 6–7*t* under Wagner-Peyser Act, 2
 - See also* Labor market measures
- Labor market information (LMI), 94
 - for employers, 84
 - Employment Service role in, 5
 - importance in labor exchange services, 184–185
 - regional systems, 202
 - state systems of, 202, 203
 - U.S. Department of Labor steps to improve, 180
 - uses for, 201
- Labor market measures
 - charging employers fees for, 236
 - expenditures on, 213, 217, 218–219*t*
 - OECD priorities, 229
 - passive and active, 213
- Labor unions and delivery of Wagner-Peyser Act services, 49, 50–51
- Legislation establishing U.S. federal labor exchange services, 6–7*t*
- LMI. *See* Labor market information
- Local employment services offices in one-stop delivery system, 51–52
 - Bush administration proposal for, 58–59
- Local government
 - merit personnel system requirement of Wagner-Peyser Act, 46
 - omission from U.S. Constitution, 34–35
 - role in employment and training programs, 75–76
 - role in job training under Lyndon Johnson, 37
 - See also* State–local relations in labor exchange services delivery
- Local workforce federalism, 59–60
- Manpower Development and Training Act of 1962, 5
- Manpower programs, 37
- Market penetration rate indicator, 130*n*19
- Market share indicators, 239
- Maryland, Employment Service job search assistance experiment, 157–158
- Massachusetts
 - alternative to state Employment Service agency delivery of services, 45, 48
 - management of Employment Service personnel and funding, 89
- Matching funds requirement of Wagner-Peyser Act, 43
- Merit personnel system requirement of Wagner-Peyser Act, 43, 44
 - in Colorado and Michigan, 46–47
 - county level, 89
- Michigan
 - agreement with USDOL over Wagner-Peyser Act requirements, 46–47, 48, 54, 65–68
 - Talent Bank, 78
- Migrant workers, performance measurement indicators, 130*n*17
- Missouri
 - Auto-Match System, 96
 - Wagner-Peyser Act services reorganization, 63*n*25
- Monster.com, 95
 - example of national job search on, 186*t*

- Montana, staff-assisted services for employers, 84–85
- National Association of State Workforce Agencies (NASAWA), 261
- National Reemployment Service (NRS), 250
- Netherlands
 evaluation of public employment services, 237
 job brokerage operations, 214*t*
 public employment service data, 216*t*
 public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 public employment services
 administrative structure, 224*t*–225*t*
 unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Net impact estimation, 103, 142, 144
 problems with, 144–145
 random and nonrandom assignment methods, 143–144
See also Performance measurement
- “New Balance” bill (H.R. 5418), 57–58
- New employer, definition of, 116
- New Federalism, 34, 37–42, 57
- New Jersey Unemployment Insurance reemployment experiment, 160
- New York State
 concerns over Wagner-Peyser Act funding, 52
 labor market information system, 202
- Nixon administration policies, 37–38
- North Carolina
 labor market information system, 202
 local employment services offices, 51–52
- North Dakota, management of
 Employment Service personnel and funding, 88
- NRS. *See* National Reemployment Service
- Occupational classification systems, 203
- Occupational Information Network. *See* O*Net OnLine
- Occupational mix of Employment Service job listings, 123, 142, 143*t*
- OECD labor market policy priorities, 229
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB), 120–121, 124
- One-stop centers, 2
 economists in, 84
 establishment, 40–41
 first states to create, 85
 groups targeted by, 136
 inconsistent services among, 59–60
 intended to compete with each other, 89
 management of staff in, 88–90, 97
 proctors at, 54
 regulations for, 49
 relationship with Employment Service program, 74
 requirements for, 136–137
 services for employers, 90
 services offered at, 136–137
 sites used for, 88
 WPRS services at, 42–43, 138
See also Federal grants-in-aid; State employment security agencies (SESAs)
- One-stop delivery system
 local employment services offices, 51–52
 in OECD countries, 227
 partnerships, 85–90
 performance indicators for, 112, 120
 role of state employment security agencies, 57, 85
 Workforce Investment Act role in, 86–87
- One-stop operating systems, 198–199, 199*t*
- O*Net system, 96, 180, 203–205
 assessment instruments, 204–205

- Web site URL for information about, 209*n*7
- O*Net OnLine, 79, 189
- Open job orders, 80
- Oregon
 - cooperation with private labor exchange agencies, 95
 - effectiveness of Employment Service job referrals and placements, 151–152
 - iMATCH, 78
 - labor market information system, 202
 - reemployment services, 92
 - staff-assisted services to employers, 84
- Outcomes
 - America's Job Bank Outcomes Study, 194*t*–195*t*, 197*t*
 - defining labor services effectiveness by, 20
 - delay in reporting, 123
 - Employment Service program, 138–139, 140, 141*t*
 - indicators, 116–117, 121–122
- Partnerships
 - one-stop delivery system, 85–90
 - public employment services in OECD countries, 223, 226
- Pennsylvania, effectiveness of Employment Service for dislocated workers, 149–151
- Performance indicators. *See* Performance measurement indicators
- Performance measurement, 101
 - alternative to, 142
 - awards or sanctions based on, 103
 - definition of, 102
 - Employment and Training Administration role, 104
 - under Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, 123–126
 - history of funding based on, 104–105, 109
 - influence of Workforce Investment Act on, 112–115
 - in the JTPA era, 105–107
 - of public employment services in OECD countries, 236–238
 - uses of, and limitations, 128
 - using in federal budget process, 103
 - when to use, 144
 - See also* Labor exchange services (*See also* Net impact estimation; Outcomes)
- Performance measurement indicators
 - for cross-country comparisons, 238–239
 - Employment and Training Administration, 116–118
 - market penetration rate indicator, 130*n*19
 - use of single indicator by Employment and Training Administration, 105, 120
 - for Wagner-Peyser Act labor exchange services, 112
 - WIA core performance and customer satisfaction, 115
- Performance measurement system
 - components of, 103
 - design of U.S., 110–113
 - General Accounting Office reports critical of U.S., 107, 120
 - in OECD countries, 228–229
 - recommendations for, 125, 128
- Performance standards, 103
- Permissive New Federalism, 38
- Persons with disabilities, 251
 - performance measurement indicators, 130*n*17
- PES. *See* Public employment service programs
- PICs. *See* Private Industry Councils
- Plan of service requirement of Wagner-Peyser Act, 43, 44, 54–55
 - integration with WIA Title I plan, 55

- Private Industry Councils (PICs), 76
- Private labor exchange agencies, 95–96
- Privatization, 45–48
 in Australia, 223, 227
 effect on Wagner-Peyser Act
 regulatory changes, 49
 in OECD countries, 227–228
- Proctors at one-stop service centers, 54
- Profiling
 use in OECD countries, 233
 Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services (WPRS) system, 92
- Program Budget Plan, 55
- Program evaluation. *See* Performance measurement
- Public Employment Reporting System, 108–110
- Public employment service programs
 cost-effectiveness, 135
 functions, 4, 136
 importance in addressing market failures, 212
 in United States history, 250
See also Employment Service program
- Public employment service programs in OECD countries
 changes in, 211–213
 core functions, 213
 cross-country comparisons of performance, 238–239
 data on services, 216*t*
 decentralization of functions, 223–226
 evaluation of goals and objectives, 236–238
 expenditures on administrative costs, 217, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 partnerships with other organizations, 223, 226
 services to employers, 232, 235–236
 services to job seekers, 229–232
 services to unemployment benefit claimants, 232–235
 staffing of, 217, 220*t*–221*t*, 222
 trends, 241
- Quasi-experimental evaluations, 144
- Racial differences in job search methods, 12, 15, 17, 18, 19*t*
- RAF. *See* Resource Allocation Formula
- Reagan administration policies, 38, 39–40
- Reemployment Act of 1994, 40, 41
- Reemployment bonus offers to Unemployment Insurance claimants, 161
- Reemployment services
 connecting Unemployment Insurance with, 96
 funding, 42–43
 Systematic Job Search Model, 200
- Regional labor market analysis systems, 202
- Registered job seekers, 119
- Reinventing Government*, 40
- Research methods
 America's Job Bank Outcomes Study, 192, 196
 bias and validity problems, 144, 145, 148, 152, 159
 client satisfaction surveys, 238
 comparison group studies of labor exchange services, 139
 data inconsistencies among state employment security agencies (SESAs), 110, 120
 evaluating effectiveness of job search methods, 20
 labor market simulation model, 152
 net impact estimation, 142, 143–145
 for random and nonrandom assignments, 144
 survey *vs.* administrative data results, 151–152
- Resource Allocation Formula, 105
- Resource rooms, 80–81

- Resume repositories online, 78, 79, 186–187
 - difficulties with, 206–207
- Roosevelt, Franklin Delano, 35
- Schumpeter's notion of economy as organic process, 182, 183
- Screening of job applicants, 80, 84
 - in OECD countries, 232
- Search choice models, 12
- Seasonal workers, performance
 - measurement indicators, 130n17
- Second Order Devolution, 33, 38, 40–42, 57
- Selection bias, 144
- Self-directed services, 73
 - need for further study, 171
 - in OECD countries, 231–232
 - performance indicators, 112
 - reliance on, 180–181
 - shift toward, 77–78
 - tools for job seekers, 78–79
- Services. *See* Labor exchange services
- SESAs. *See* State employment security agencies
- SJSA. *See* Structured job search assistance
- Skills development, 183–184
 - in OECD countries, 235
- Social Policy Research Associates (SPRA) performance
 - measurement study, 111–112
- Social Security Act of 1935, 36–37, 75
 - Title III, 4
- South Carolina, Employment Service
 - job search assistance study, 153, 156
- Special revenue sharing. *See* Block grants
- SPRA. *See* Social Policy Research Associates
- Staff-assisted services, 54
 - for employers, 83–85
 - funding for, 83
 - for job seekers, 81–83
 - on-line help desk, 94
 - performance indicators, 112
- Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) system, 203
- State control over federal grants-in-aid, 45–48, 52
- State employment security agencies (SESAs), 75
 - authority to deliver Wagner-Peyser Act services, 50–51, 56
 - composition and functions, 2
 - data inconsistencies among, 110, 120
 - employer-reported success rates for new hires referred from, 26
 - employer use of, 25–26
 - firm size of employers who use, 25
 - group-oriented services, 73–74, 82
 - labor exchange services, 3, 4–10, 36
 - management of staff and funds in one-stop centers, 88–90
 - patterns of co-location with one-stop center partners, 87–88
 - performance goal differences among, 125
 - performance measurement
 - recommendations for, 125, 128
 - performance measurement strategy of, 110–111
 - quarterly reports to ETA, 122–123
 - relation with WIA one-stop centers, 87
 - as requirement of Wagner-Peyser Act, 43–45
 - role in economic development, 84
 - role in one-stop delivery system, 57, 137
 - role in success of one-stop center, 90
 - role under Lyndon Johnson, 37
 - self-service tools, 77–81
 - staff-assisted services, 81–85
 - use of, by industry, 25–26
- See also* Employment Service program; Interstate Conference of Employment Security Agencies

- State Employment Service plans, 43, 44, 54–55, 121–122
 - five-year, 55, 125
- State funding of labor exchange services, 83, 106–107
- State governor's role in labor exchange services, 57, 58, 59, 260–261
- State legislature authority over Wagner-Peyser Act funds, 52, 57, 58
- State–local relations in labor exchange services delivery, 33–34, 50–51, 56, 58–59
 - administrative structures, 88–90, 97
 - See also* Local government
- State Unified Plan, 55
- State workforce agencies. *See* State employment security agencies
- Statistics on labor exchange activities. *See* Labor exchange reporting
- Statistics on labor markets. *See* Labor market information
- Structured job search assistance (SJSA), 162–163
- Sweden
 - evaluation of public employment services, 237
 - job brokerage operations, 214*t*–215*t*
 - public employment service data, 216*t*
 - public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 - public employment services administrative structure, 225*t*
 - unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Switzerland
 - evaluation of public employment services, 237–238
 - job brokerage operations, 214*t*–215*t*
 - public employment service data, 216*t*
 - public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 - public employment services administrative structure, 225*t*
 - unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Talent Bank, 78
- TANF. *See* Temporary Assistance for Needy Families
- Targeted groups of job seekers
 - Employment Service program, 5, 251
 - job placement services, 83
 - in OECD countries, 226, 233
 - one-stop centers, 136
 - Unemployment Insurance claimants, 92
 - Welfare recipient programs, 83, 86
- Targeted job search assistance, 160–168
- Temporary agencies, 73
 - Belgium, 226
- Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF), 62*n*13, 86, 87
- Temporary employment agencies, 14–15
- Texas, alternative to state Employment Service agency delivery of services, 45–46
- Touch-screen kiosks, 232
- Trade, Employment and Productivity Act, 40
- UI. *See* Unemployment Insurance program
- Unemployed job seekers, 10–11, 13–16, 17
 - labor force status in second month of search, 23*t*, 24*t*
 - long-term unemployed in OECD countries, 235
 - use of Internet, 18, 19*t*
 - See also* Dislocated workers; Employed job seekers; Unemployment Insurance claimants
- Unemployment Insurance claimants
 - automated systems for, 197–200
 - eligibility requirements, 135
 - in OECD countries, 232–235
 - percentages of Employment Service services received by, 137*t*, 138

- requiring attendance at job search workshops, 158, 159
 - studies of job search assistance to, 153–159
 - studies of targeted job search assistance to, 161–168
 - work search reporting requirement, 159
- Unemployment Insurance payment savings in OECD countries, 233
- Unemployment Insurance program
 - connecting with reemployment services, 96
 - coordination with Employment Service program, 4–5, 36–37
 - disassociation from Employment service program, 48, 91
 - funding, 57–58
 - relation with Employment Service program, 52–53, 74, 91–92
 - staffing, 222
 - telephone and computerized claims, 198
- Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund, 57–58, 261
 - conserving money in, 156, 157, 158, 159
- Unemployment Insurance wage records, 114, 117, 121–122
- Unions and delivery of Wagner-Peyser Act services, 49, 50–51
- United Kingdom
 - evaluation of public employment services, 238
 - job brokerage operations, 215*t*
 - public employment service data, 216*t*
 - public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 - public employment services
 - administrative structure, 225*t*
 - unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- United States
 - evaluation of public employment services, 238
 - job brokerage operations, 214*t*–215*t*
 - public employment service data, 216*t*
 - public employment service expenditures, 218*t*–219*t*, 220*t*–221*t*
 - public employment services
 - administrative structure, 225*t*
 - unemployment and hiring data, 234*t*
- Universal access to Wagner-Peyser Act services, 53–54
- U.S. Constitution delegation of authority to federal and state governments, 34–35
- U.S. Department of Labor
 - agreement with Michigan over Wagner-Peyser Act requirements, 46–47, 48, 54, 65–68
 - agreement with Monster Board, 95
 - assignment of employment activities to, 250
 - Florida's impact on its Wagner-Peyser Act policies, 52
 - “Job Search Assistance Experiment,” 161–163
 - labor market and occupational information, 189
 - One-Stop Center initiative, 86–87
 - position on performance indicators, 120
 - role in labor exchange services, 8
 - steps to improve labor market information, 179–180
 - Workforce Information System Plan for 2001–2005, 202
 - See also* Employment and Training Administration
- U.S. Employment Service (USES). *See* Employment Service program
- U.S. General Accounting Office (GAO) reports on job placement-related services, 8–9

- USGAO (continued)
 - reports on labor exchange
 - performance measurement, 107, 120
- USDOL. *See* U.S. Department of Labor
- USES. *See* Employment Service program
- Utah
 - cooperation with private labor exchange agencies, 95
 - management of Employment Service personnel and funding, 89
 - one-stop centers, 86
- Vacancy listings. *See* Job listings
- Vermont, management of Employment Service personnel and funding, 88–89
- Vermont job placement services, 83
- Veterans
 - Employment Service services to, 251
 - ETA quarterly reports about, 121–122
 - Veterans' Employment and Training Service (VETS), 116
- Vickery Committee, 251
- Wage Record Interchange System (WRIS), 123
- Wage trends by occupations, 204
- Wagner-Peyser Act, 1–2
 - adding one-stop delivery regulations to, 49
 - basis under JTPA for state grant allocations, 105–106
 - compliance disputes between U.S. Department of Labor and states, 45–48, 51, 52, 54, 65–68
 - funding of services, 57–58, 107*f*
 - goals, 127*t*
 - idea of introducing competition into, 40–41
 - initial employment services under, 4
 - JTPA amendments, 76
 - original intent, 43–45
 - policies from amendments to, 50–55
 - proposal to repeal, 58, 260, 261–262
 - provisions, 35–36
 - relation with one-stop centers, 87
 - relation with Workforce Investment Act (WIA), 9, 53–54, 56–57
 - responsibility for funding, 52
 - service delivery methods, 54
 - state control over services, 45–48, 56
 - universal access to services requirement, 53–54
- War on poverty (Lyndon Johnson), 37
- Washington
 - effectiveness of Employment Service job referrals and placements, 151–152
 - Employment Service job search assistance experiment, 157–158
 - labor market information system, 202
- WDPMI. *See* Workforce Development Performance Measures Initiative
- Web site job banks, 78–79, 186–187
 - tied to Unemployment Insurance databases, 91
 - See also* Internet use for job search
- Welfare recipient programs, 83
 - in one-stop centers, 86
- Welfare-to-work-grants, 42
- Westat, Inc. study on performance indicators, 113
- WIA. *See* Workforce Investment Act
- WIC. *See* Workforce Information Council
- Wisconsin
 - JobNet service, 78
 - management of Employment Service personnel and funding, 88
 - one-stop centers, 85
 - services for employers, 96
 - staff-assisted services for employers, 84

- Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services (WPRS) system, 42–43, 160
 - effect on Unemployment Insurance claimants, 138
 - evaluations of, 163–165, 168
 - profiling, 92
 - reason to use random assignments, 144, 165
 - two-stage process, 162
- Workers. *See* Job seekers
- Workforce Development Act, 41
- Workforce Development Performance Measures Initiative (WDPMI), 112
- Workforce federalism, 33–34
 - Bill Clinton’s “third-way” approach to, 59
 - regulatory shifts, 55–57
- Workforce Information Council (WIC), 201–202
- Workforce Investment Act (WIA) of 1998, 2
 - effect of GPRA on grantees, 124–125
 - emerging federal issues from 2003 reorganization, 57
 - emphasis on performance measurement, 101
 - employment statistics section, 201
 - funding and management under, 41–42
 - impact on Employment Service program, 252–255
 - impact on labor market information gathering, 180
 - influence on performance measurement system, 112–115
 - integration of Wagner-Peyser Act with, 53–54
 - performance indicators, 115, 117
 - purpose, 9–10
 - services under Title I, 10, 54
 - state plans required under, 55
 - structure, 76–77
 - and transition to one-stop delivery system, 86–87
- Workforce investment areas, 136
- Workforce investment boards, 77
- “Workforce Reinvestment and Adult Education Act” (H.R. 1261), 64*n*32, 262–263
- Workforce Tools of the Trade, features and statistics, 190*t*–191*t*
- Work search reporting requirement, 159, 233
- Work test, 36
 - studies, 153–159
- WPRS system. *See* Worker Profiling and Reemployment Services system
- WRIS. *See* Wage Record Interchange System
- Youth
 - in OECD countries, 233
 - use of Employment Service services, 140
 - WIA performance measurement indicators, 115